Al Gore, Agenda 21 And Population Control

By Michael Snyder, on June 24th, 2011

Imagine going to sleep one night and waking up many years later in a totally different world. In this futuristic world, literally everything you do is tightly monitored and controlled by control freak bureaucrats in the name of "sustainable development" and with the goal of promoting "the green agenda". An international ruling body has centralized global control over all human activity. What you eat, what you drink, where you live, how warm or cold your home can be and how much fuel you can use is determined by them. Anyone that dissents or that tries to rebel against the system is sent off for "re-education". The human population is 90 percent lower than it is today in this futuristic society, and all remaining humans have been herded into tightly constricted cities which are run much like prisons. Does all of that sound good to you? Well, this is what Agenda 21 is all about.

Yes, I know all this sounds like a plot from a science fiction novel. But it is actually real. 178 nations have signed on to Agenda 21. "Eco-prophets" such as Al Gore travel all over the world teaching us how wonderful "sustainable development" will be. This agenda is being pushed in our schools, at our universities, on our televisions and in our movies.

So exactly what is Agenda 21? The following is how the United Nations **defines Agenda 21**….

> *Agenda 21 is a comprehensive plan of action to be taken globally, nationally and locally by organizations of the United Nations System, Governments, and Major Groups in every area in which human impacts on the environment.*

When you start doing deep research into Agenda 21, you will find that describing it as a "comprehensive plan" is an understatement. Virtually all forms of human activity impact the environment. The rabid "environmentalists" behind the green agenda intend to take all human activity and put it into a box called "sustainable development".

One of the key elements of "sustainable development" is population control. The United Nations (along with radical "environmental" leaders such as Al Gore) actually believes that there are far too many people on earth.

So what is the solution?

Sadly, they actually believe that we need to start reducing the population.

Just this week, Al Gore **made the following statement** regarding population control….

> *"One of the things we could do about it is to change the technologies, to put out less of this pollution, to stabilize the population, and one of the principle ways of doing that is to empower and educate girls and women. You have to have ubiquitous availability of fertility management so women can choose how many children have, the spacing of the children.*
>
> *You have to lift child survival rates so that parents feel comfortable having small families and most important — you have to educate girls and empower women. And that's the most powerful leveraging factor, and when that happens, then the population begins to stabilize and societies begin to make better choices and more balanced choices."*

Do you notice how whenever global leaders talk about "empowering" women these days it always ends up with them having less children?

This population control agenda is also reflected in official UN documents.

The following is language **from a UN resolution** that was adopted by the UN General Assembly that was designed to further the implementation of Agenda 21….

> *"….population growth rates have been declining globally, largely as a result of expanded basic education and health care. That trend is projected to lead to a stable world population in the middle of the twenty-first century… The current decline in population growth rates must be further promoted through national and international policies that promote economic development, social development, environmental protection, and poverty eradication, particularly the further expansion of basic education, with full and equal access for girls and women, and health care, including reproductive health care, including both family planning and sexual health, consistent with the report of the International Conference on Population and Development."*

Most Americans don't grasp it yet, but the truth is that the global elite are absolutely obsessed with population control. In fact, there is a growing consensus among the global elite that they need to get rid of 80 to 90 percent of us.

The number one commandment of the infamous **Georgia Guidestones** is this: "Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature."

Unfortunately, a very high percentage of our global leaders actually believe in this stuff.

Sadly, this philosophy is now regularly being reflected in official UN documents. For example, **the March 2009 U.N. Population Division policy brief** begins with the following shocking statement….

> *What would it take to accelerate fertility decline in the least developed countries?*

Apparently the poorest nations are the primary target for the population control freaks over at the UN.

This agenda showed up again when the United Nations Population Fund released its annual State of the World Population Report for 2009 entitled "**Facing a**

Changing World: Women, Population and Climate".

The following are three quotes that were pulled right out of that document….

1) "Each birth results not only in the emissions attributable to that person in his or her lifetime, but also the emissions of all his or her descendants. Hence, the emissions savings from intended or planned births multiply with time."

2) "No human is genuinely "carbon neutral," especially when all greenhouse gases are figured into the equation. Therefore, everyone is part of the problem, so everyone must be part of the solution in some way."

3) "Strong family planning programmes are in the interests of all countries for greenhouse-gas concerns as well as for broader welfare concerns."

If no human is "carbon neutral", then what is the solution?

To those that are obsessed with Agenda 21 and "sustainable development", the fact that you and I are alive and breathing air is a huge problem.

The population control agenda is also regularly showing up in our newspapers now.

In a recent editorial for the New York Times entitled "**The Earth Is Full**", Thomas L. Friedman made the following statement….

> *You really do have to wonder whether a few years from now we'll look back at the first decade of the 21st century — when food prices spiked, energy prices soared, world population surged, tornados plowed through cities, floods and droughts set records, populations were displaced and governments were threatened by the confluence of it all — and ask ourselves: What were we thinking? How did we not panic when the evidence was so obvious that we'd crossed some growth/climate/natural resource/population redlines all at once?*

But Friedman is quite moderate compared to many of the "eco-prophets" that are running around out there today.

For example, James Lovelock, the creator of the Gaia hypothesis, stated in an interview with the Guardian earlier this year that **"democracy must be put on hold"** if the fight against global warming is going to be successful and that only "a few people with authority" should be permitted to rule the planet until the crisis is solved.

A Finnish environmentalist named Pentti Linkola has gone even farther than that. Linkola is openly calling for climate change deniers **to be "re-educated"**, for an eco-fascist world government to be established, for humans to be forcibly sterilized and for the majority of humans to be killed.

That doesn't sound pleasant, now does it?

This agenda is even being taught by professors at our top universities.

The truth is that academia is brimming with nutjobs who want to see the vast majority of humans wiped out.

For example, Professor of Biology at the University of Texas at Austin Eric R. Pianka is a very prominent advocate of radical human population control.

In an article entitled **"What nobody wants to hear, but everyone needs to know"**, Pianka made the following shocking statements….

***First, and foremost, we must get out of denial and recognize that Earth simply cannot support many billions of people.**

***This planet might be able to support perhaps as many as half a billion people who could live a sustainable life in relative comfort. Human populations must be greatly diminished, and as quickly as possible to limit further environmental damage.**

***I do not bear any ill will toward humanity. However, I am convinced that the world WOULD clearly be much better off without so many of us.**

Now keep in mind that this is a university professor that is teaching our kids. People actually pay a lot of money to get educated by this guy.

If those pushing Agenda 21, "sustainable development" and population control get their way, the world is going to be a much different place in the future.

Just watch the video posted below. It was originally produced by the Forum for the Future, a major NGO funded by big corporations such as Time Warner and Royal Dutch Shell. In this video, the Forum for the Future presents their chilling version of the future. Are you ready to live in a "Planned-opolis"? Are you ready to use a "calorie card" and to have what you eat determined by a "global food council"? This is the kind of tyrannical future that these radical environmental organizations want to impose on you and I….

Yes, the video is almost comical, but this is the kind of world that the global elite want to push us towards.

In fact, we see radical steps being taken all over the globe even now.

In Europe, the European Commission has unveiled a plan **to ban all cars** from major European cities by the year 2050.

In Europe, the mantra "carbon dioxide is causing global warming" has become gospel. This banning of cars from city centers is all part of a draconian master plan to reduce carbon dioxide emissions in Europe by 60 percent over the next 40 years.

Hopefully this plan will never come to fruition, but the fact that the European Commission is seriously pushing it just shows how far things have progressed.

But we don't have to peer into the future to see how this agenda is going to affect us.

Today, the U.S. government and governments all over the industrialized world have become so obsessed with reducing carbon emissions that now they even tell us **what kinds of light bulbs** we are allowed to buy.

There are millions of Americans that love the old light bulbs. But soon we will not have the choice to buy them anymore.

What kind of freedom is that?

In some areas of the United States, government snoopers actually sort through the trash of residents to ensure that environmental rules are being followed. For example, in the city of Cleveland, Ohio authorities have announced plans to have "trash supervisors" **go snooping through trash cans** to ensure that people are actually recycling according to city guidelines.

How would you feel if government officials went snooping around in your trash cans?

The world is changing. The global elite have immense amounts of wealth and power and they are intent on imposing a radical environmental agenda on all the rest of us.

The reality is that many of the wealthiest and most prominent people in the world are absolutely obsessed with the green agenda and with population control. Just consider the following quotes….

David Rockefeller: *"The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary ecosystems is becoming appallingly evident."*

CNN Founder Ted Turner: *"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."*

Dave Foreman, Earth First Co-Founder: *"My three main goals would be to reduce human population to about 100 million worldwide, destroy the industrial infrastructure and see wilderness, with it's full complement of species, returning throughout the world."*

Maurice Strong: *"Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"*

Michael Oppenheimer: *"The only hope for the world is to make sure there is not another United States. We can't let other countries have the same number of cars, the amount of industrialization, we have in the US. We have to stop these Third World countries right where they are."*

This radical agenda is even represented in the White House.

John P. Holdren, Barack Obama's **top** science advisor, co-authored a textbook entitled "Ecoscience" back in 1977 in which he actually advocated mass sterilization, compulsory abortion, a one world government and a global police force to enforce population control.

On page 837 of Ecoscience, a claim is made that compulsory abortion would be perfectly legal under the U.S. Constitution….

> *"Indeed, it has been concluded that compulsory population-control laws, even including laws requiring compulsory abortion, could be sustained under the existing Constitution if the population crisis became sufficiently severe to endanger the society."*

On pages 942 and 943, a call is made for the creation of a "planetary regime" that would control the global economy and enforce population control measures….

> *"Perhaps those agencies, combined with UNEP and the United Nations population agencies, might eventually be developed into a Planetary Regime —sort of an international superagency for population, resources, and environment. Such a comprehensive Planetary Regime could control the development, administration, conservation, and distribution of all natural resources, renewable or nonrenewable, at least insofar as international implications exist. Thus the Regime could have the power to control pollution not only in the atmosphere and oceans, but also in such freshwater bodies as rivers and lakes that cross international boundaries or that discharge into the oceans. The Regime might also be a logical central agency for regulating all international trade, perhaps including assistance from DCs to LDCs, and including all food on the international market."*
>
> *"The Planetary Regime might be given responsibility for determining the optimum population for the world and for each region and for arbitrating various countries' shares within their regional limits. Control of population size might remain the responsibility of each government, but the Regime would have some power to enforce the agreed limits."*

On page 917, the surrender of U.S. national sovereignty to an international organization is advocated….

> *"If this could be accomplished, security might be provided by an armed international organization, a global analogue of a police force. Many people have recognized this as a goal, but the way to reach it remains obscure in a world where factionalism seems, if anything, to be increasing. The first step necessarily involves partial surrender of sovereignty to an international organization."*

As mentioned earlier, Holdren is the number one science advisor to Barack Obama, and the truth is that the top levels of the U.S. government are packed with people that believe this stuff.

Yes, a lot of what you have read in this article sounds crazy. But the global elite really do believe in population control and they really are seeking to implement a radical environmental agenda across the entire planet.

They want total control of everyone and everything so that they can impose the measures that they believe are necessary to "fix" the planet.

I have actually written quite extensively in the past about the radical green agenda of the global elite. If you are interested in learning more, I would recommend the following articles….

***The Green Police**

***Governments Around The World Are Eagerly Adopting The Strict Population Control Agenda Of The United Nations**

***Yes, They Really Do Want To Reduce The Population – 22 Shocking Population Control Quotes From The Global Elite That Will Make You Want To Lose Your Lunch**

***The Dangerous Myth Of Overpopulation**

*One Less Child? Environmental Extremists Warn That Overpopulation Is Causing Climate Change And Will Ultimately Destroy The Earth

*Hillary Clinton: Population Control Will Now Become The Centerpiece Of U.S. Foreign Policy

*New U.N. Report: We Must Reduce The Population To Fight Climate Change

*The Population Control Agenda Behind The Global Warming Movement: For The Environmental Extremists At Copenhagen Population Reduction Is The "Cheapest" Way To Reduce Carbon Emissions

*To The Global Elite The Math Is Simple: Human Overpopulation Is Causing Climate Change So The Solution To Climate Change Is Population Control

So do you have an opinion that you would like to share about Al Gore, Agenda 21 or population control? If so, please feel free to leave your thoughts in the comments section below….

Share the knowledge!
3.6k 11 17 36

June 24th, 2011 | Tags: Agenda 21, Futuristic Society, Futuristic World, Population Control, Sustainable Development, The Green Agenda | Category: Environment

« Another Step Closer To A One World Religion: 50 U.S. Churches To Read From The Quran On Sunday

Is College Worth It? »

Miranda's Revenge
Blake dumps Gwen after Miranda showed him what he's missing!
Learn More
Sponsored by EnStarz

157 Comments The American Dream Login

Recommend 1 Share Sort by Best

Join the discussion…

MorganJ · 5 years ago

How stupid. You people never see the big picture. There are a few things you need to consider before buying into this global gestapo.

First, all these people are selfish jerks. They find a beautiful natural setting, build a house there then try to prevent anyone else from moving next door. They only care about population because there are too many people in their way when they want to move around.

Second, they want to limit new births *after* they are already born! Have you noticed none of them are willing to reduce the global population by getting rid of their overly large, privileged families, or themselves?

Also, the planet is not ours to destroy. Nothing man can do or has done can match what has already happened to the world. Yet the world has survived for billions of years without our help.

A single volcano releases more carbon into the atmosphere in one week than **all of the people** in the world combined in ten years! Yet the world's temperature barely changes. (Look up Mt. Pinatubo in the Philippines for reference.)